

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/648,502	08/25/2000	Mark E. Redding	230074.0230 5521	
. 75	90 03/15/2004		EXAMINER	
Ted R Rittmas	ter Esq		KANG, I	AUL H
Foley & Lardne	r			
Suite 3500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2029 Century Park East			2141	
	A 00067 3021			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

J

ι			/			
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/648,502	REDDING, ET AL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Paul H Kang	2141			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	uaust 2001.				
	is action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 August 2000</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See this is required if the drawing (s) is objection is required if the drawing (s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)	•				
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2,3</u> .	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/648,502 Page 2

Art Unit: 2141

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barber et al., US Pat. No. 5,390,297 in view of Coley et al., US Pat. No. 5,790,664.

2. As to claim 1, Barber teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Barber teaches a system and method for managing licenses for protected software on a communication network, the system (Barber, col. 2, lines 3-54) comprising:

at least one client computer capable of being coupled to the communication network for requesting an authorization to use the protected software and for storing a commuter authorization lifetime representing a time period for which the commuter authorization is valid (Barber, col. 2, lines 3-54 and col. 5, lines 31-67 and col. 6, line 1 – col. 7, line 64); and

at least one license server coupled to the communication network, each license server programmed for managing a distribution of allocations to use the protected software and at least one license server programmed for granting an authorization in response to a request for an authorization (Barber, col. 2, lines 3-54 and col. 5, lines 31-67 and col. 6, line 1 – col. 7, line 64).

However, Barber does not explicitly teach said authorization is a commuter authorization, enabling use of software while coupled or decoupled from the network. In the same field of endeavor, Coley teaches a software licensing system wherein after a commuter authorization is

Art Unit: 2141

communicated from a granting license server to a requesting client computer, the requesting client computer may use the protected software while coupled to or decoupled from the communication network until the commuter authorization lifetime expires (Coley, col. 4, line 1 – col. 5, line 30 and col. 8, line 54 – col. 9, line 61).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of software while coupled or decoupled from the network, as taught by Coley, into the system of Barber for the purpose of enhancing flexibility and convenience of software use.

- 3. As to claim 3, Barber-Coley teaches the system wherein the at least one license server further programmed for granting a commuter authorization to the requesting client computer and decrementing a count of available allocations only if there is an available allocation in the at least one license server (Barber, col. 2, lines 3-54 and col. 5, lines 31-67).
- 4. The method steps of claim 11 are a combination of the apparatus of claims 1 and 3, and have similar limitations except in method steps; therefore, claim 11 is rejected under the same rationale.
- 5. As to claims 2 and 12, Barber-Coley teach a system and method comprising while the requesting client computer maintains a valid commuter authorization, the requesting client computer may open the protected software multiple times, including simultaneous instantiations of the protected software (Barber, col. 2, lines 3-54 and col. 5, lines 31-67 and col. 6, line 1 col.

Application/Control Number: 09/648,502

Art Unit: 2141

7, line 64; and Coley, col. 4, line 1 - col. 5, line 30 and col. 8, line 54 - col. 9, line 61).

Page 4

- 6. As to claims 4 and 13, Barber-Coley teach a system and method wherein the requesting client computer further including memory for storing commuter authorization information including the commuter authorization lifetime and a check-in value received from the granting license server when the granting license server grants the commuter authorization to the requesting client computer; and the granting license server further including memory for storing commuter authorization information including the commuter authorization lifetime and a check-in value when the granting license server grants the commuter authorization to the requesting client computer (Barber, col. 2, lines 3-54; col. 5, lines 31-67; col. 6, line 1 col. 7, line 64; and col. 15, lines 10 55).
- 7. As to claims 5 and 14, Barber-Coley teaches the system and method wherein the requesting client computer programmed for returning the commuter authorization by setting its check-in value to a returned state and communicating a check-in message to the granting license server; and the granting license server further programmed for setting its check-in value to the returned state and incrementing its count of available allocations upon receipt of the check-in message (Barber, col. 15, lines10-55).
- 8. As to claims 6 and 15, Barber-Coley teaches the system and method wherein if the commuter authorization is not returned prior to an expiration of the commuter authorization lifetime, at the expiration of the commuter authorization lifetime, the requesting client computer

Application/Control Number: 09/648,502 Page 5

Art Unit: 2141

- 9. As to claims 7 and 16, Barber-Coley teach a system and method wherein the requesting client computer programmed for enabling a user to select the computer authorization lifetime (Barber, col. 2, lines 3-54; col. 5, lines 31-67; col. 6, line 1 col. 7, line 64; and col. 15, lines 10 55).
- 10. As to claims 8 and 17, Barber-Coley teach a system and method wherein the requesting client computer programmed for enabling a user to select the license server from which to request a commuter authorization (Coley, col. 4, line 1 col. 5, line 30 and col. 8, line 54 col. 9, line 61).
- 11. As to claims 9 and 18, Barber-Coley teach a system and method wherein the at least one license server comprising a pool of license servers, and the granting license server further programmed for communicating the commuter authorization lifetime and the check-in value stored in the granting license server to other license servers in the pool when the granting license server grants the commuter authorization to the requesting client computer, so that even if the granting license server should go down, another license server in the pool can act as the granting

Application/Control Number: 09/648,502 Page 6

Art Unit: 2141

license server Coley, col. 4, line 1 - col. 5, line 30 and col. 8, line 54 - col. 9, line 61).

- 12. Claims 10 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barber-Coley as applied above, and further in view of McCurdy et al., US Pat. No. 5,177,222.
- 13. As to claims 10 and 19, Barber-Coley teach a system and method substantially as claimed. However, Barber-Coley do not explicitly teach a system and method wherein the requesting client computer further programmed for detecting attempts to tamper with its internal clock and invalidating the commuter authorization if tampering is detected. In the analogous field of electrical communications, McCurdy teaches a communication system comprising a tamper alarm system for tampering indication (McCurdy, Abstract and col. 3, line 43 col. 5, line 38). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the tamper indicator as taught by McCurdy, into the software licensing system of Barber-Coley for the purpose of increasing system security.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul H Kang whose telephone number is (703) 308-6123. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour flex. First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (703) 305-4003. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/648,502

Art Unit: 2141

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul H Kang

Examiner

Art Unit 2141

\*\*\*